Supreme Court of Persh 5	RIMa Pipeument	2 Filed 04/01/20	O Page 1 of 15		
Court of Common Plea Civil Cover Sheet LACAKWANNA	- <u> </u>	For Prothonotary Use			
The information collected on this form supplement or replace the filing and supplement of Action: Commencement of Action: Complaint Transfer from Another Jurisdiction	ervice of pleadings of CLERKS OF JU RECORDS CIVES ons	or other papers as rea	n purposes. This form does not quired by law or rules of court.		
Lead Plaintiff's Name: BRIAN OBOYLE		Lead Defendant's Name: THE CMI GROUP ET AL			
Are money damages requested?	Yes No	Dollar Amount Re (check one)			
Is this a Class Action Suit?	Yes No	Is this an MD.	J Appeal? ☐ Yes ⊠ No		
Nature of the Case: Place an "X" to	o the left of the <u>ONE</u> SE. If you are make	case category that n	nost accurately describes your pe of claim, check the one that		
TORT (do not include Mass Tort) Intentional Malicious Prosecution Motor Vehicle Nuisance Premises Liability Product Liability (does not include mass tort) Slander/Libel/ Defamation Other: MASS TORT Asbestos Tobacco Torio Text DES	CONTRACT (do r Buyer Plaintiff Debt Collectio Debt Collectio Employment L Discrimination Employment I	f n: Credit Card n: Other Dispute:	Administrative Agencies Board of Assessment Board of Elections Dept. of Transportation Statutory Appeal: Other Zoning Board Other:		
Toxic Tort - DES Toxic Tort - Implant Toxic Waste Other: PROFESSIONAL LIABLITY Dental Legal Medical Other Professional:	☐ Ground Rent☐ Landlord/Tena☐ Mortgage Fore	ain/Condemnation	MISCELLANEOUS Common Law/Statutory Arbitrati Declaratory Judgment Mandamus Non-Domestic Relations Restraining Order Quo Warranto Replevin Other:		

Joseph T. Sucec, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff PO Box 317 Grantham, PA 17027 717-315-2359 joesucec@gmail.com PA 74482

MAURI B. KELLY LACKÁWANNA COUNTY

2020 MAR -2 P 3: 05

CLERKS OF JUDICIAL RECORDS CIVIL DIVISION IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS LACKAWANNA COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

BRIAN O'BOYLE

plaintiff,

ν.

THE CMI GROUP

defendant

N

No. 20011490

CIVIL ACTION - LAW

CLASS ACTION

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

NOTICE

YOU HAVE BEEN SUED IN COURT. IF YOU WISH TO DEFEND AGAINST THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THE FOLLOWING PAGES, YOU MUST TAKE ACTION WITHIN TWENTY (20) DAYS AFTER THE COMPLAINT AND NOTICE ARE SERVED BY ENTERING A WRITTEN APPEARANCE PERSONALLY OR BY ATTORNEY AND FILING IN WRITING WITH THE COURT YOUR DEFENSES OR OBJECTIONS TO THE CLAIMS SET FORTH AGAINST YOU. YOU ARE WARNED THAT IF YOU FAIL TO DO SO THE CASE MAY PROCEED WITHOUT YOU AND A JUDGMENT MAY BE ENTERED AGAINST YOU BY THE COURT WITHOUT FURTHER NOTICE FOR ANY MONEY CLAIMED OR FOR ANY OTHER CLAIM OR RELIEF REQUESTED BY THE DEFENDANT. YOU MAY LOSE MONEY OR PROPERTY OR OTHER RIGHTS IMPORTANT TO YOU.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Lackawanna Pro Bono, Inc. 321 Spruce St Scranton, PA 18503 570-961-2714

North Penn Legal Services Suite 300, 507 Linden Street Scranton,PA 18503 Phone: (570) 342-0184 (800) 982-4387

Fax: (570) 342-4301

Joseph T. Sucec, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff PO Box 317 Grantham, PA 17027 717-315-2359 joesucec@gmail.com PA74482

MAURI B. KELLY LACKAWANNA COUNTY

2020 MAR -2 P 3: 05

CLERKS OF JUDICIAL RECORDS CIVIL DIVISION IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS LACKAWANNA COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

BRIAN O'BOYLE

plaintiff,

v.

THE CMI GROUP

defendant

No.

CIVIL ACTION - LAW

CLASS ACTION

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

INTRODUCTION

1. BRIAN O'BOYLE ("Plaintiff") brings this Class Action Complaint for damages, injunctive relief, and any other available legal or equitable remedies, resulting from the illegal actions of THE CMI GROUP ("Defendant"), in negligently, and/or willfully contacting Plaintiff on Plaintiff's cellular telephone, in violation of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq., ("TCPA") and a state cause of action for invasion of privacy. Plaintiff alleges as follows upon personal knowledge as to herself and his own acts and experiences, and, as to all other matters, upon information and belief, including investigation conducted by his attorneys.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. Jurisdiction is proper under 42 Pa. Code 931, which grants plenary jurisdiction to the Court of Common Pleas, and based on <u>Yellow Freight Systems</u>, Inc. v. Donnelly, 494 US 820, 822 (1990), allowing federal claims to be brought in state court where federal court jurisdiction has not been made exclusive.

- 3. Because Plaintiff avers and alleges a state-wide class of victims of violations of the TCPA by Defendant, and avers that said class meets the criteria for class actions related in 231 Pa. Code 1700 et seq, (explained in detail in ensuing paragraphs), jurisdiction is also asserted for the Court of Common Pleas.
- 4. Further, Plaintiff Venue is proper in the Court of Common Pleas of this county as Plaintiff Prukala was at all times relevant, and still is, a resident of Lackawanna County, Pennsylvania

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a citizen and resident of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and, for the purposes of the statute purported to be violated here, a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153 (10).
- 6. Defendant is a corporation engaged in. among other enterprises, collections of alleged past due bills for other business clients, with headquarters at 6080 Tennyson Parkway, Suite 100, Plano, TX 75024, and is similarly defined as a "person."

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 7. Plaintiff is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a "person" as defined by 17 U.S.C. § 153 (10).
- 8. Defendant is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a corporation and a "person" as defined by 17 U.S.C. § 153(10)
- 9. Since Plaintiff is located within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Defendant's

Case 3:20-cv-00531-RDM Document 2 Filed 04/01/20 Page 5 of 15

relevant business in this matter was conducted within the Commonwealth as well.

- 10. From September 1, 2019 until the present Plaintiff received numerous telephone calls on her mobile telephone, each leaving a voice mail imploring her to return the call. Each call was traceable to 866-368-1565, a number in turn traceable to Defendant.
- 11. The nature and circumstances of the call from Defendant indicate that said call came from and was generated from an "automatic telephone dialing system" as defined by 47 USC 227(a)(1)
- 12. At no point did Plaintiff ever give permission, implied or express, to Defendant, to contact her mobile telephone via an automatic telephone dialing system.
- 13. Without such permission from Plaintiff, Defendant's actions are directly violative of 47 USC(b)(1)(A)(iii).
- 14. The telephone number that the Defendant, or its agents, called was assigned to Plaintiff via her mobile carrier, .
- 15. This unsolicited voice mail message placed to Plaintiff's cellular telephone was to a cellular telephone service for which Plaintiff incurs a charge for incoming calls pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1). as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227 (b)(1)(A)(i).
- 16. Further, the voice mail was not for emergency purposes as defined by the statute.
- 17. Defendant acted in a false, deceptive, misleading and unfair manner by causing a telephone to ring or engaging any person in telephone conversation repeatedly or continuously with intent to annoy, abuse, or harass any person at the called number.

- 18. The Defendant acted in a false, deceptive, misleading and unfair manner when they engaged in conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse such person in connection with the collection of a debt.
- 19. Defendant knew or should have known that its actions violated the TCPA Additionally, Defendant could have taken the steps necessary to bring its actions into compliance with the TCPA, but neglected to do so and failed to adequately review its actions to insure compliance with said laws.
- 20. At all times pertinent hereto, Defendant was acting by and through its agents, servants and/or employees, who were acting within the scope and course of their employment, and under the direct supervision and control of the Defendant herein.
- 21. At all times pertinent hereto, the conduct of Defendant as well as its agents, servants and/or employees, was malicious, intentional, willful, reckless, negligent and in wanton disregard for federal and state law and the rights of the Plaintiffs herein.
- 22. As a result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiffs have sustained actual damages, including, but not limited to, injury to Plaintiffs' reputation, invasion of privacy, damage to Plaintiffs' credit, out-of-pocket expenses, physical, emotional and mental pain and anguish and pecuniary loss and they will continue to suffer same for an indefinite time in the future, all to their great detriment and loss.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

23. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself and on behalf of and all others similarly situated ("the Class").

24. The elements for a class action in Pennsylvania are contained within 231 Pa. Code 1702:

Rule 1702. Prerequisites to a Class Action.

One or more members of a class may sue or be sued as representative parties on behalf of all members in a class action only if

- (1) the class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable;
- (2) there are questions of law or fact common to the class;
- (3) the claims or defenses of the representative parties are typical of the claims or defenses of the class;
- (4) the representative parties will fairly and adequately assert and protect the interests of the class under the criteria set forth in Rule 1709; and
- (5) a class action provides a fair and efficient method for adjudication of the controversy under the criteria set forth in Rule 1708.
- 25. Plaintiff represents, and is a member of the Class, consisting of all persons within the Commonwealth who received any unsolicited voice mail messages from Defendant, which were not made for emergency purposes or with the recipient's prior express consent.
- 26. Defendant and its employees or agents are excluded from the Class. Plaintiff does not know the number of members in the Class, but believes the Class members number in the hundreds of thousands, if not more. Thus, this matter should be certified as a Class action to assist in the expeditious litigation of this matter.
- 27 . Plaintiff and members of the Class were harmed by the acts of Defendant in at illegally contacted Plaintiff and the Class members via their cellular telephones by using an unsolicited and/or confirmatory text message, thereby least the following ways: Defendant, either directly or through its agents, causing Plaintiff and the Class members to incur certain cellular telephone charges or reduce cellular telephone time for which

Plaintiff and the Class members previously paid, and invading the privacy of said Plaintiff and the Class members. Plaintiff and the Class members were damaged thereby.

- 28. This suit seeks only damages and injunctive relief for recovery of economic injury on behalf of the Class and it expressly is not intended to request any recovery for personal injury and claims related thereto. Plaintiff reserves the right to expand the Class definition to seek recovery on behalf of additional persons as warranted as facts are learned in further investigation and discovery.
- 29. The joinder of the Class members is impractical and the disposition of their claims in the Class action will provide substantial benefits both to the parties and to the court. The Class can be identified through Defendant's records or Defendant's agents' records.
- 30. There is a well-defined community of interest in the questions of law and fact involved affecting the parties to be represented. The questions of law and fact to the Class predominate over questions which may affect individual Class members, including the following:
- a) Whether, within the four years prior to the filing of this Complaint, Defendant transmitted any voice mail messages (other than a voice mail message for emergency purposes or made with the prior express consent of the called party) to a Class member using any automatic telephone dialing system to any telephone number assigned to a cellular telephone service;
- b) the extent of damages for such violation; and
- c) Whether Plaintiff and the Class members were damaged thereby, and

- d) Whether Defendant should be enjoined from engaging in such conduct in the future.
- 31. As a person that received at least one voice mail message without Plaintiff's prior express consent, Plaintiff is asserting claims that are typical of the interests of the Class in that Plaintiff has no interests antagonistic to any member of the Class.
- 32. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the Plaintiff and the members of the Class have all suffered irreparable harm as a result of the Defendant's unlawful and wrongful conduct.
- 33. If the Class is not certified for this action, the Class will continue to face the potential for irreparable harm. In addition, these violations of law will be allowed to proceed without remedy and Defendant will likely continue such illegal conduct. Because of the size of the individual Class member's claims, few, if any, Class members could afford to seek legal redress for the wrongs complained of herein.
- 34. Absent a class Plaintiff has retained counsel experienced in handling class action claims.
- 35. A class action is a superior method for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy. Class-wide damages are essential to induce Defendant to comply with federal and Commonwealth law. The interest of Class members in individually controlling the prosecution of separate claims against Defendant is small because the maximum statutory damages in an individual action for violation of privacy are minimal. Management of these claims is likely to present significantly fewer difficulties than those presented in many class claims.
- 36. Defendant has acted on grounds generally applicable to the Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief and corresponding declaratory relief with respect to

Case 3:20-cv-00531-RDM Document 2 Filed 04/01/20 Page 10 of 15

the Class as a whole.

COUNT I

NEGLIGENT VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

47 U.S.C. § 227 ET SEQ.

- 37. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all previous paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 38. The foregoing acts and omissions of Defendant constitute numerous and multiple negligent violations of the TCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the above-cited provisions of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq.
- 39. As a result of Defendant's negligent violations of 47 U.S.C. § 227 et seq, Plaintiff and The Class are entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages, for each and every violation, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).
- 40. Plaintiff and the The Class are also entitled to and seek injunctive relief prohibiting such conduct in the future. .

COUNT II

INVASION OF PRIVACY

- Plaintiffs incorporate the foregoing paragraphs as though the same were set forth at length herein.
- 42. Defendant, by repeatedly contacting Plaintiff during business hours on her cellular telephone line invaded her privacy.

43. The conduct of Defendant was a direct and proximate cause, as well as a substantial factor, in bringing about the serious injuries, damages and harm to Plaintiffs that are outlined more fully below and, as a result, Defendant is liable to compensate the Plaintiffs for the full amount of actual, compensatory and punitive damages, as well as such other relief, permitted under the law.

44. Plaintiff avers that the damages for the instant count fall within arbitration limits, but include:

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for the following:

- a. As a result of Defendants' negligent violations of **the TCPA**, Plaintiff seeks for Plaintiff and each Class member any actual damages sustained as a result of Defendants' behavior violative of the statute, as well as relevant to the common law causes of action set forth here. Plaintiff estimates this total, including but not limited to lost time in dealing with said violations, and in seeking and contacting legal counsel for the purpose of exploring and commencing this litigation, at \$1,000
- b. An incentive fee of \$5,000.
- c. Punitive damages of \$10,000.
- d. Reasonable attorneys fees for pursuit of this matter, at the rate of \$500 per hour, as follows:

	Case 3:20-cv-00531-RDM	Document 2	Filed 04/01/20	Page 12 of 15
•	1. Client consultation and revi	iew of file.		2.5
	2 Drafting of complaint, edi	ting, review	with client doc	ument processing and
	filing			1.5
	3. Service of process of writ	and complair	nt	.25
				4.25 hours@\$500/hou
For a	total (attorneys fees) of \$2,125	5.		
For g	rand total of all damages of \$1	8,125.		
e. Inj	unctive relief prohibiting such	actions by D	efendant in the	future.
f. An	y other relief the Court may de	eem just and	proper.	
			Respectfully	submitted,
Date:	2/19/2020			
			/s/ Joseph T.	Sucec, Esq.

Joseph T. Sucec, Esq.

VERIFICATION STATEMENT

I verify that the statements made in the complaint are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa. C.S. § 4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Date: 2-26-20

Bran O Poyle

Supreme Court of Rem	splwadpia ument	2 Filed 04/01/20	Page 14	4 of 15			
Court of Common Ple Civil Cover Sheet LACAKWANNA The information collected on this for supplement or replace the filing and	County MAJRIB. LACKAWANNE	z GOONTY compadylinistratio	n purposes.	This form do	es not		
Commencement of Action: Complaint Writ of Sumr Transfer from Another Jurisdiction	nons CLERKS M	UDICIAL PelitionSiON Declaration of Taking	gen ou of tu	, and the second			
Lead Plaintiff's Name: LARRY PAPI & DONNA JAMES PAPI	Lead Defendant's Name: SWC GROUP ET AL						
Are money damages requested? [ĭ Yes □ No		Dollar Amount Requested: within arbitration limits (check one) outside arbitration limits				
Is this a Class Action Suit?	ĭ Yes □ No	Is this an MD	J Appeal?	☐ Yes	⊠ No		
Name of Plaintiff/Appellant's Attorney: JOE SUCEC ESQ Check here if you have no attorney (are a Self-Represented [Pro Se] Litigant)							
PRIMARY CA	to the left of the ONE 4SE. If you are making the making the second of the second						
TORT (do not include Mass Tort) Intentional Malicious Prosecution Motor Vehicle Nuisance Premises Liability Product Liability (does not include mass tort) Slander/Libel/ Defamation Other: MASS TORT Asbestos Tobacco Toxic Tort - DES	CONTRACT (do note that the contract of the collection of the colle	n: Credit Card n: Other Dispute:	Boar Dept Statu	rative Agencies d of Assessmen d of Elections . of Transportati ntory Appeal: Ot	ion		
Toxic Tort - Implant Toxic Waste Other: PROFESSIONAL LIABLITY Dental Legal Medical Other Professional:		ain/Condemnation	Com Decl Man Non- Rest	aratory Judgmer damus Domestic Relat raining Order Warranto evin			

Joseph T. Sucec, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiff PO Box 317 Grantham, PA 17027 717-315-2359 joesucec@gmail.com PA74482

MAURI B. KELLY LACKAWANNA COUNTY 2020 MAR -2 P 3: 06

RECORDS OF JUDICIAL
IN THE COURT OF COMMONIPLEAS
LACKAWANNA COUNTY, PENNSYLVANIA

LARRY PAPI and DONNA JAMES

plaintiffs,

v. SWC GROUP

defendant

No.

CIVIL ACTION - LAW

CLASS ACTION

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

NOTICE

YOU HAVE BEEN SUED IN COURT. IF YOU WISH TO DEFEND AGAINST THE CLAIMS SET FORTH IN THE FOLLOWING PAGES, YOU MUST TAKE ACTION WITHIN TWENTY (20) DAYS AFTER THE COMPLAINT AND NOTICE ARE SERVED BY ENTERING A WRITTEN APPEARANCE PERSONALLY OR BY ATTORNEY AND FILING IN WRITING WITH THE COURT YOUR DEFENSES OR OBJECTIONS TO THE CLAIMS SET FORTH AGAINST YOU. YOU ARE WARNED THAT IF YOU FAIL TO DO SO THE CASE MAY PROCEED WITHOUT YOU AND A JUDGMENT MAY BE ENTERED AGAINST YOU BY THE COURT WITHOUT FURTHER NOTICE FOR ANY MONEY CLAIMED OR FOR ANY OTHER CLAIM OR RELIEF REQUESTED BY THE DEFENDANT. YOU MAY LOSE MONEY OR PROPERTY OR OTHER RIGHTS IMPORTANT TO YOU.

YOU SHOULD TAKE THIS PAPER TO YOUR LAWYER AT ONCE. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE A LAWYER OR CANNOT AFFORD ONE, GO TO OR TELEPHONE THE OFFICE SET FORTH BELOW TO FIND OUT WHERE YOU CAN GET LEGAL HELP.

Lackawanna Pro Bono, Inc. 321 Spruce St Scranton, PA 18503 570-961-2714 North Penn Legal Services Suite 300, 507 Linden Street Scranton,PA 18503

Phone: (570) 342-0184 (800) 982-4387

Fax: (570) 342-4301